

FORM A

Subject member's response to the evidence set out in the investigation report

Please enter the number of any paragraph in the investigation report where you disagree with the findings of fact, and give your reasons and your suggested alternative.

Paragraph number from the investigation report	Reasons for disagreeing with the findings of fact provided in that paragraph	Suggestion as to how the paragraph should read
4.18	THE FINANCES AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE CRICKET CLUB HAVE NO CONNECTION WHATSOEVER WITH THIS CHARITY EVENT.	" COUNCILLOR SHAKRAT LEFT THE MEETING, IN A SULK, BECAUSE THERE WAS A HIGH PROFILE EVENT GOING ON IN THE VILLAGE TO WHICH HE WAS BEING EXCLUDED.
7.4.5	I DECLARED AN INTEREST BECAUSE I WAS UNPAID. THE CHAIRMAN SAID I NEED NOT LEAVE THE MEETING	

(SEE MINUTES)

FORM A

Subject member's response to the evidence set out in the investigation report

Please enter the number of any paragraph in the investigation report where you disagree with the findings of fact, and give your reasons and your suggested alternative.

Paragraph number from the investigation report	Reasons for disagreeing with the findings of fact provided in that paragraph	Suggestion as to how the paragraph should read
8.4.2	I CANNOT AGREE! WHAT'S THE POINT OF HAVING A CHAIRMAN IF I AM SUPPOSED TO IGNORE HIM.	"COUNCILOR MARSH WAS INFORMED THAT HE MAY REMAIN IN THE MEETING BUT NOT TAKE PART IN THE DISCUSSION."
		(FACT!)

FORM B

Other evidence relevant to the complaint

Please set out below, using the numbered paragraphs, any evidence you feel is relevant to the complaint made about you.

Paragraph number	Details of the evidence
1	IF I HAVE TRANSGRESSED IN THE MATTER OF THE CRICKET MATCH, IT WAS WHOLLY UNINTENTIONAL.
2	IF THE APPLICATION FOR FUNDING WAS SO WRONG, WHY WAS COUNCILLOR SHARRATT ALLOWED TO APPROACH THE SECRETARY DEFERRING WITH THE OTHER £200.
3	IF WE WERE ALL SUPPOSED TO KEEP QUIET ABOUT THIS UNTIL THE HEARING, WAS THE SAME COUNCILLOR ALLOWED TO WRITE TO WITNESSES AND ARGUMENT THEM IN THE OLD BAR AND AT THEIR HOME, (IN ONE CASE). <u>SECTION 63</u>
4	FINALLY, WHY IS HE TRYING TO INVOLVE COUNCILLOR YATES, WHO WAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE ORGANISATION.

COULD IT BE, THAT LIKE MYSELF, HE HAD HAD ENOUGH OF SAID

COUNCILLOR ?